Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 44461 Maximus Coffee Group, LP RN100214931 Docket No. 2012-1316-AIR-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

AIR

Small Business:

No

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Maximus Coffee Group, 3900 Harrisburg Boulevard, Houston, Harris County

Type of Operation:

Rice and coffee processing plant

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: July 26, 2013

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$10,884

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$2,176 Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$8,708 **Total Due to General Revenue:** \$0

Payment Plan: N/A **SEP Conditional Offset:** \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Average Site/RN - Average

Major Source: Yes

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 44461 Maximus Coffee Group, LP RN100214931 Docket No. 2012-1316-AIR-E

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: March 27 to April 6, 2012

Date(s) of NOE(s): June 15, 2012

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to maintain compliance with the maximum allowable emission rates ("MAER") for Emission Point Numbers ("EPNs") 103, 107, 108, 259, and 262. Specifically, Respondent exceeded the EPN 103 particulate matter ("PM") MAER of 2.67 pounds per hour ("lbs/hr") by 0.82 lb/hr for 514 hours from August 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009, and by 0.82 lb/hr for 1,129 hours in 2010; exceeded EPNs 107 and 108 PM MAER of 0.03 lb/hr by 0.06 lb/hr and 0.02 lb/hr, respectively, for 480 hours each in 2010; exceeded the EPN 259 carbon monoxide ("CO") MAER of 0.13 lb/hr and the nitrogen oxides ("NOx") MAER of 0.15 lb/hr by 0.02 lb/hr and 0.03 lb/hr, respectively, for 4,479 hours in 2010; and exceeded the EPN 262 CO MAER of 0.06 lb/hr and the NOx MAER of 0.08 lb/hr by 0.09 lb/hr and 0.1 lb/hr, respectively, for 6,692 hours in 2010, the EPN 262 CO MAER of 0.28 ton per year ("TPY") by 0.22 ton in 2010, the EPN 262 NOx MAER of 0.33 TPY by 0.26 ton in 2010, and the EPN 262 volatile organic compounds ("VOC") MAER of 0.02 TPY by 0.01 ton in 2010. The total unauthorized emissions are estimated to be 1,369.26 lbs of PM, 1,499.46 lbs of NOx, 1,279.23 lbs of CO, and 26.15 lbs of VOC [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §§ 116.115(c) and 122.143(4), Permit No. 17723, Special Conditions No. 1, Federal Operating Permit ("FOP") No. O1018, Special Terms and Conditions No. 5, and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].
- 2. Failed to report all instances of deviations within 30 days after the end of the reporting period. Specifically, the semi-annual deviation reports for the reporting periods from February 1, 2011 through July 31, 2011 and August 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012 did not include deviations for failing to create final records of four emissions events no later than two weeks after the end of the emissions events [30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 122.143(4) and 122.145(2)(C), FOP No. O1018, General Terms and Conditions, and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures:

a. By May 18, 2012, implemented measures and procedures to ensure all deviations are included in semi-annual deviation reports and submitted a supplemental deviation report which included the deviations for failing to create final records of four emissions events no later than two weeks after the end of the emissions events; and

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 44461 Maximus Coffee Group, LP RN100214931 Docket No. 2012-1316-AIR-E

b. On January 28, 2013, submitted a permit application to amend Permit No. 17723 to increase the allowable emission rates.

Technical Requirements:

The Order will require Respondent to:

a. Respond completely and adequately, as determined by the TCEQ, to all requests for information concerning the permit amendment application within 30 days after the date of such requests, or by any other deadline specified in writing; and

b. Within 180 days, submit written certification that a permit amendment has been obtained or that operation has ceased until such time that appropriate authorization is obtained.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A Settlement Date: N/A

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Kimberly Morales, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 5, MC R-12, (713) 422-8938; Candy Garrett, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-1456

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: David S. Geis, Technical Services Manager, Maximus Coffee Group, LP,

3900 Harrisburg Boulevard, Houston, Texas 77003

Respondent's Attorney: Rebecca J. Rentz, Attorney, Winstead PC, 1100 JPMorgan

Chase Tower, 600 Travis Street, Houston, Texas 77002

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision October 30, 2008 Assigned 18-Jun-2012 PCW 7-May-2013 Screening 2-Jul-2012 **EPA Due** 12-Mar-2013 RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent Maximus Coffee Group, LP Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN100214931 Facility/Site Region 12-Houston Major/Minor Source Major **CASE INFORMATION** Enf./Case ID No. 44461 No. of Violations 2 Docket No. 2012-1316-AIR-E Order Type 1660 Media Program(s) Air Government/Non-Profit No Multi-Media Enf. Coordinator Kimberly Morales EC's Team Enforcement Team 5 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Maximum \$10,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1 \$7,700 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. Compliance History 42.0% Enhancement \$3,234 Enhancement for one NOV with dissimilar violations, and two orders with Notes denial of liability. Culpability No 0.0% Enhancement Subtotal 4 \$0 The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes **Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments** Subtotal 5 \$50 **Economic Benefit** Subtotal 6 \$0 0.0% Enhancement* Total EB Amounts \$1,017 \$5,250 *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Approx. Cost of Compliance **SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7** Final Subtotal \$10,884 OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 0.0% \$0 Adjustment Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Final Penalty Amount

Adjustment

Final Assessed Penalty

Reduction

20.0%

\$10,884

\$10,884

-\$2,176

\$8,708

Notes

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

PCW

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Respondent Maximus Coffee Group, LP

Case ID No. 44461

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100214931

Media [Statute] Air

Enf. Coordinator Kimberly Morales

Component	ory <i>Site</i> Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	1	2%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	2	40%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
		ease Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
at Violator (Adjustment Per	centage (Subt	total 2)
No	Adjustment Per	centage (Subt	total 3
oliance Histo	pry Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Average Pe		centage (Subt	otal 7
oliance Histo	ory Summary		
Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for one NOV with dissimilar violations, and two orders with denia	al of liability.	

Media Violation No.						Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
						5.0	. 15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs	•						
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$5,000	45					
Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated c	ost to implement		mply w		n/a ssion rates for PM, C	\$1,008 O, NOx, and
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated of VOC. The D	ost to implement Pate Required is th	measures to co ne date the exc actions are e	edance expecte enterir 0.00	vith permitted emise began. The Final d to be completed ng item (except 1 \$0 \$0	n/a ssion rates for PM, C Il Date is the date th . for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	\$1,008 O, NOx, and lat corrective led costs) \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling	Estimated of VOC. The D	ost to implement Pate Required is th	measures to co ne date the exc actions are e	emply weedance expected entering 0.00 0.00 0.00	with permitted emise began. The Final d to be completed to gitem (except 1 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a ssion rates for PM, C al Date is the date the for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$1,008 O, NOx, and lat corrective led costs \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	Estimated of VOC. The D	ost to implement Pate Required is th	measures to co ne date the exc actions are e	enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00	vith permitted emise began. The Final d to be completed so	n/a ssion rates for PM, C al Date is the date the for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$1,008 O, NOx, and lat corrective led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated of VOC. The D	ost to implement Pate Required is th	measures to co ne date the exc actions are e	enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	with permitted emise began. The Final d to be completed so	n/a ssion rates for PM, C Il Date is the date th for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$1,008 O, NOx, and lat corrective led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated of VOC. The D	ost to implement Pate Required is th	measures to co ne date the exc actions are e	enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	with permitted emise began. The Final d to be completed so	n/a ssion rates for PM, C Il Date is the date th for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$1,008 O, NOx, and lat corrective led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated of VOC. The D	ost to implement Pate Required is th	measures to co ne date the exc actions are e	enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	with permitted emise began. The Final d to be completed so	n/a ssion rates for PM, C Il Date is the date th for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$1,008 O, NOx, and lat corrective led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated of VOC. The D	ost to implement Pate Required is th	measures to co ne date the exc actions are e	enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	with permitted emise began. The Final d to be completed so	n/a ssion rates for PM, C Il Date is the date th for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$1,008 O, NOx, and lat corrective led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$

Screening Date		Docket No. 2012-		PCW
Respondent Case ID No.	Maximus Coffee Group, LP			on 2 (September 2002)
Reg. Ent. Reference No.			PCW Re	vision October 30, 2008
Media [Statute]	Air			
Enf. Coordinator Violation Number				
Rule Cite(s)				
	Do Tex. Admini. Code 99 1	.22.143(4) and 122.145(2)(C), Foons, and Tex. Health & Safety Coo		
Violation Description	reporting period. Specific periods from February 1, 2 January 31, 2012 did not li	ances of deviations within 30 days ally, the semi-annual deviation re 2011 through July 31, 2011 and A nclude deviations for failing to cre than two weeks after the end of	ports for the reporting ugust 1, 2011 through ate final records of four	
			Base Penalty	\$10,000
>> Environmental, Proper	ty and Human Health	n Matrix		
Release	Harm Major Moderate	Minor		
OR Actual				
Potential		Perce	nt0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix				
Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor Perce	nt 1%	
111-111-111-111-111-111-111-111-111-11			1701	
Matrix		200/251		
Notes	The Respondent railed to mi	eet less than 30% of the rule requ	irement.	
<u> </u>		•••		
		Adjustme	nt \$9,900	
			L	\$100
Violation Events	E 200			***************************************
Number of V	/iolation Events 2	364 Numbe	r of violation days	
	daily			
5 ²	weekly			
mark only one	monthly guarterly	<u> </u>	iolation Base Penalty	\$200
with an x	semiannual		lolation base renalty	\$200
	annual			e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
	single event X			- Artiferionaanse
				sentireosesses
	single events are recomme	nded for the two incomplete devia	don reports.	
Good Faith Efforts to Comp	ply 25.0%	o Reduction		\$50
occurring Enoits to com	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	_	Ψ30
	Extraordinary			and the state of t
	Ordinary x N/A	(mark with x)		***************************************
		dent completed corrective actions	on May 18	veryrepronaum
		112, prior to the June 15, 2012 NC		- I
	CHROSE STEERINGS OF		Violation and the C	1150
			Violation Subtotal	\$150
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation	Statu	tory Limit Test	
Estimate	ed EB Amount	\$9 Violatio	n Final Penalty Total	\$234
	This vi	olation Final Assessed Penalty	(adjusted for limits)	\$234
			,,,	

Violation No. Item Description Delayed Costs Equipment Buildings Other (as needed) Engineering/construction	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Cared	5.0	Depreciation 15
Delayed Costs Equipment Buildings Other (as needed)		Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Delayed Costs Equipment Buildings Other (as needed)	No commas or \$				LINCHEST SAVEU	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Equipment Buildings Other (as needed)							
Buildings Other (as needed)							2.0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
* 13				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$250	30-Aug-2011	18-May-2012	0.72	\$9	n/a	\$9
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0.
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling		Date i	s the date that	correcti	ive actions were congitem (except 1) \$0 \$0 \$0	first semi-annual repompleted. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.001	\$0	\$0 l	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN603143967, RN100214931, Rating Year 2011 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2006, through August 31, 2011.

Customer, Respondent, Owner/Operator:	or CN603143967, Maximus Coffee G	iroup, LP	Classification: A	VERAGE	Rating	: 12.50			
Regulated Entity: RN100214931, MAXIMUS COFFEE Complexity Points: 0			Classification: A	Rating	Rating: 12.50				
			Repeat Violator: NO						
CH Group:	14 - Other								
Location:	3900 HARRISBURG BOULEVARD,	HOUSTON,	HARRIS COUNTY, TEXA	ıs					
TCEQ Region:	REGION 12 - HOUSTON								
ID Number(s):									
AIR OPERATING PERMITS	ACCOUNT NUMBER HG0473P	AIR O	PERATING PERMITS	PERMIT 101	18				
POLLUTION PREVENTION I	PLANNING ID NÜMBER P03226		STRIAL AND HAZARD 57185654	OUS WAST	re epa id				
INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARD REGISTRATION # (SWR) 320		AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 12356 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 17723 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 37950 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 46557 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 46558 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 47197							
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	S PERMIT 17241								
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	S PERMIT 19177								
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	S REGISTRATION 45721								
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	S REGISTRATION 46556								
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	S REGISTRATION 46897								
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	S ACCOUNT NUMBER HG0473P	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS AFS NUM 4820100106 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 70058 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 107637							
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	5 PERMIT 56398								
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	S REGISTRATION 54368								
STORMWATER PERMIT TXRO	05V674	AIR E	MISSIONS INVENTOR	Y ACCOUN	T NUMBER HG0473F	•			
Compliance History Peri	od: September 01, 2006 to Augu	ıst 31, 2011	Rating Year:	2011	Rating Date:	09/01/2011			
Date Compliance History	y Report Prepared: March	27, 2013		<u> </u>					
Agency Decision Requir	ing Compliance History:	Enforcemen	nt	`					
Component Period Selec	March 27, 2008 to March	27, 2013							
TCEQ Staff Member to C	ontact for Additional Informa	tion Reg	arding This Compli	ance Hist	ory.				
Name: Kimberly Mora	iles		Phone: (7	13) 422-89	38				

Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

YES

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

NO

3) If YES for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

N/A

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior

N/A

owner(s)/operator(s)?

5) If YES, when did the change(s) in owner or operator

N/A

Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

Effective Date: 06/01/2009 ADMINORDER 2008-1619-AIR-E (1660 Order-Agreed Order With Denial)

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.145(2)(C)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: General Terms and Conditions OP

Description: Failed to submit deviation reports for the period February 1, 2007 through July 31, 2007.

2 Effective Date: 11/15/2010

> Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

> > 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition 1 ORDER

Special Term and Condition 5 OP

Description: Failure to maintain PM emissions below the permitted limits for EPN 104A. (Category A8(c)(2)(A)(ii) violation - HPV)

ADMINORDER 2010-0457-AIR-E (1660 Order-Agreed Order With Denial)

Classification: Moderate

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition 1 PERMIT

Special Term and Condition 5 OP

Description: Failure to maintain emissions for PM and SO2 below the permitted limits for EPN 404. (Category A8(c)(2)(A)(ii)

violation - HPV)

Classification: Minor

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4) Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.145(2)(A)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382,085(b)

Rqmt Prov: General Terms and Conditions OP

Description: Failure to report exceedance of emission limits for PM and SO2 as a deviation. (Category B3 violation - repeat

violation)

B. Criminal convictions:

N/A

Chronic excessive emissions events:

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

Date:

01/07/2013

(1043066)

CN603143967

Moderate

Citation:

Self Report?

30 TAC Chapter 335, SubChapter A 335.6(c)

Maximus failed to update their Notice of Registration (NOR).

Description: Self Report?

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 335, SubChapter C 335.62

40 CFR Chapter 262, SubChapter I, PT 262, SubPT A 262.11

Description:

Maximus failed to conduct hazardous waste determinations on five waste streams that were generated within the last three years, which included WS0021001H-hazardous waste lab packs, coffee wastewater sludge (WS00345042-rice wastewater sludge),

spent coffee grounds, ash from boiler, and parts washer waste.

Self Report?

NO

NO

Classification:

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 335, SubChapter A 335.6(h) grounds waste stream burned in the boiler.

Description:

The facility failed to submit a recycling notification to the TCEQ for the spent coffee

Moderate

Self Report? Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 335, SubChapter O 335.431(c)

40 CFR Chapter 268, SubChapter I, PT 268, SubPT A 268.7(a)(2)

Description:

Maximus failed to provide an adequate Land Disposal Restriction (LDR) document for

WS0021001H (hazardous waste lab packs). The facility provided a document which did not state "This hazardous waste may or may not be subject to the LDR treatment

standards. The treatment facility must make the determination.'

Self Report?

Classification:

Moderate

Published Compliance History Report for CN603143967, RN100214931, Rating Year 2011 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from March 27, 2008, through March 27, 2013.

Citation: 40 CFR Chapter 279, SubChapter I, PT 279, SubPT C 279.22(c)

Description: Maximus failed to label two used oil containers with the words "Used Oil." Self Report? Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 335, SubChapter A 335.10(c) 30 TAC Chapter 335, SubChapter A 335.13(i)

40 CFR Chapter 262, SubChapter I, PT 262, SubPT B 262.20(a)(1) 40 CFR Chapter 262, SubChapter I, PT 262, SubPT D 262.42(b)

Maximus failed to retain a copy of the designated facility's signed and/or write the Description:

Texas Waste Code on manifests.

Self Report?

30 TAC Chapter 335, SubChapter C 335.69(a)(1)(A)

Classification: Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 335, SubChapter E 335.112(a)(8) 40 CFR Chapter 262, SubChapter I, PT 262, SubPT C 262.34(a)(1)(i)

40 CFR Chapter 265, SubChapter I, PT 265, SubPT I 265.174

Description:

The facility failed to conduct weekly inspections on hazardous waste containers in WMU

002 for leaking and/or deterioration of containers caused by corrosion or other factors.

F. Environmental audits:

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

Early compliance:

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

				Ģ.
	•			
				T _a ,

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



8	BEFORE THE
§	
§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
§	
§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
	5 55 55 55 55

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2012-1316-AIR-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On ________, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Maximus Coffee Group, LP ("Respondent") under the authority of Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 382 and Tex. Water Code ch. 7. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent, represented by Rebecca J. Rentz of the law firm of Winstead PC, together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a rice and coffee processing plant at 3900 Harrisburg Boulevard in Houston, Harris County, Texas (the "Plant").
- 2. The Plant consists of one or more sources as defined in TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.003(12).
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about June 20, 2012.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Ten Thousand Eight Hundred Eighty-Four Dollars (\$10,884) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Eight Thousand Seven Hundred

Eight Dollars (\$8,708) of the administrative penalty and Two Thousand One Hundred Seventy-Six Dollars (\$2,176) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Plant:
 - a. By May 18, 2012, implemented measures and procedures to ensure all deviations are included in semi-annual deviation reports and submitted a supplemental deviation report which included the deviations for failing to create final records of four emissions events no later than two weeks after the end of the emissions events; and
 - b. On January 28, 2013, submitted a permit application to amend Permit No. 17723 to increase the allowable emission rates.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Plant, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to maintain compliance with the maximum allowable emission rates ("MAER") for Emission Point Numbers ("EPNs") 103, 107, 108, 259, and 262, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 116.115(c) and 122.143(4), Permit No. 17723, Special Conditions No. 1, Federal Operating Permit ("FOP") No. O1018, Special Terms and Conditions No. 5, and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), as documented during a record review conducted from March 27 to April 6, 2012. Specifically, the Respondent exceeded the

EPN 103 particulate matter ("PM") MAER of 2.67 pounds per hour ("lbs/hr") by 0.82 lb/hr for 514 hours from August 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009, and by 0.82 lb/hr for 1,129 hours in 2010; exceeded EPNs 107 and 108 PM MAER of 0.03 lb/hr by 0.06 lb/hr and 0.02 lb/hr, respectively, for 480 hours each in 2010; exceeded the EPN 259 carbon monoxide ("CO") MAER of 0.13 lb/hr and the nitrogen oxides ("NOx") MAER of 0.15 lb/hr by 0.02 lb/hr and 0.03 lb/hr, respectively, for 4,479 hours in 2010; and exceeded the EPN 262 CO MAER of 0.06 lb/hr and the NOx MAER of 0.08 lb/hr by 0.09 lb/hr and 0.1 lb/hr, respectively, for 6,692 hours in 2010, the EPN 262 CO MAER of 0.28 ton per year ("TPY") by 0.22 ton in 2010, the EPN 262 NOx MAER of 0.33 TPY by 0.26 ton in 2010, and the EPN 262 volatile organic compounds ("VOC") MAER of 0.02 TPY by 0.01 ton in 2010. The total unauthorized emissions are estimated to be 1,369.26 lbs of PM, 1,499.46 lbs of NOx, 1,279.23 lbs of CO, and 26.15 lbs of VOC.

2. Failed to report all instances of deviations within 30 days after the end of the reporting period, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 122.143(4) and 122.145(2)(C), FOP No. O1018, General Terms and Conditions, and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), as documented during a record review conducted from March 27 to April 6, 2012. Specifically, the semi-annual deviation reports for the reporting periods from February 1, 2011 through July 31, 2011 and August 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012 did not include deviations for failing to create final records of four emissions events no later than two weeks after the end of the emissions events.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Maximus Coffee Group, LP, Docket No. 2012-1316-AIR-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Respond completely and adequately, as determined by the TCEQ, to all requests for information concerning the permit amendment application within 30 days after the date of such requests, or by any other deadline specified in writing; and
 - b. Within 180 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification that a permit amendment has been obtained or that operation has ceased until such time that appropriate authorization is obtained. The certification shall include detailed supporting documentation including receipts and/or other records to demonstrate compliance, be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public, and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Air Section Manager Houston Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 5425 Polk Avenue, Suite H Houston, Texas 77023-1486

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Plant operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.

- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which 7. together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

Maximus Coffee Group, LP DOCKET NO. 2012-1316-AIR-E Page 6

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
For the Executive Director	8 1 3 1 3 Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the att agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the edo agree to the terms and conditions specified therein accepting payment for the penalty amount, is material	entity indicated below my signature, and I . I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in
 I also understand that failure to comply with the Cand/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may reach a negative impact on compliance history; Greater scrutiny of any permit applications subtle Referral of this case to the Attorney General additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to Increased penalties in any future enforcement and Automatic referral to the Attorney General's and TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law. In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents. 	result in: mitted; l's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, a collection agency; actions; Office of any future enforcement actions;
Davil 5,60	5/24/24/3 Date
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of Maximus Coffee Group, LP	Technical Services Munger Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.